UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR QUARTER ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2000

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 0-6247

ARABIAN AMERICAN DEVELOPMENT COMPANY (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE 75-1256622 (State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. employer incorporation or organization) identification no.)

10830 NORTH CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY, SUITE 175 DALLAS, TEXAS (Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (214) 692-7872

Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report.

75231

(Zip code)

NONE

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES	Х	NO

Number of shares of the Registrant's Common Stock (par value \$0.10 per share), outstanding at September 30, 2000: 22,788,994.

ARABIAN AMERICAN DEVELOPMENT COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM I. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

<TABLE> APTION

<caption></caption>		BER 30, 2000 UDITED)		DECEMBER 31, 1999		
<s></s>	<c></c>		<c></c>			
ASSETS						
CURRENT ASSETS						
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	204,766	Ş	434,313		
Short-Term Investments				20,597		
Trade Receivables		6,252,295		4,308,085		
Inventories		1,224,378		745,396		
Total Current Assets		7,681,439				
REFINERY PLANT, PIPELINE AND EQUIPMENT		17,213,314		9,357,956		
Less: Accumulated Depreciation		(5,085,191)		(4,330,856)		
Net Plant, Pipeline and Equipment		12,128,123		5,027,100		
AL MASANE PROJECT		34,950,990		34,621,335		
OTHER INTERESTS IN SAUDI ARABIA		2,431,248		2,431,248		
MINERAL PROPERTIES IN THE UNITED STATES		1,280,112		1,299,008		
RESTRICTED CASH				3,500,000		
OTHER ASSETS		575 , 591		461,127		

TOTAL ASSETS	\$ ===	59,047,503		52,848,209
LIABILITIES				
CURRENT LIABILITIES				
Accounts Payable-Trade	\$	5,429,881	Ş	1,129,926
Accrued Liabilities		869,074		1,005,110
Accrued Liabilities in Saudi Arabia		857,823		1,326,823
Notes Payable		11,873,780		11,873,780
Current Portion of Long-Term Debt		4,903,137		677,439
Total Current Liabilities		23,933,695		16,013,078
LONG-TERM DEBT		3,414,792		3,572,561
ACCRUED LIABILITIES IN SAUDI ARABIA		771,628		741,218
DEFERRED REVENUE		140,010		165,835
MINORITY INTEREST IN CONSOLIDATED SUBSIDIARIES		1,029,509		907,354
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY				
COMMON STOCK-authorized 40,000,000				
shares of \$.10 par value; issued and				
outstanding, 22,488,994 shares in 2000				
and 22,019,994 shares in 1999		2,248,899		2,201,999
ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL		36,523,606		36,101,506
ACCUMULATED CURRENCY TRANSLATION ADJUSTMENTS		(163,650)		
ACCUMULATED DEFICIT		(8,850,986)		(6,855,342)
Total Stockholders' Equity		29,757,869		31,448,163
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY				52,848,209
	===		===	

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

-1-

ARABIAN AMERICAN DEVELOPMENT COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

	THREE MONT	HS ENDED	NINE MON	THS ENDED
		SEP. 30,1999		SEP. 30,1999
<s> REVENUES</s>	<c></c>		<c></c>	
Refined Product Sales Processing Fees	\$ 11,095,240 669,000	\$ 7,614,063 484,298	1,690,063	951,860
		8,098,361	33,232,749	
OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES Cost of Refined Product				
Sales and Processing	11,496,474	6,483,917	31,322,327	14,363,539
General and Administrative	988,054	697,442	2,641,433	2,101,359
Depreciation	291,871	213,495		
	12,776,399		34,718,095	16,970,650
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	(1,012,159)	703,507	(1,485,346)	2,982,006
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)				
Interest Income			92,056	
Interest Expense	(291,384)	(45,774)	(757,738)	(125,204)
Minority Interest	37,873	7,200	96,038	9,960
Miscellaneous Income (Expense)	40,938	108,877	59,346	
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES	(1,198,996)	787,235	(1,995,644)	3,170,213
INCOME TAX EXPENSE		52,782		268,535
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ (1,198,996)	\$ 734,453	\$ (1,995,644)	\$ 2,901,678

NET INCOME (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE:								
Basic	Ş	(0.05)	\$	0.03	\$	(0.09)	\$	0.13
	====							
Diluted	Ş	(0.05)	\$	0.03	\$	(0.09)	\$	0.13
	====							
WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON EQUIVALENT SHARES OUTSTANDING:								
Basic	22	,788,994	22,	,019,494	22,	634,379	22,	019,494
Diluted		,788,994		,530 , 774		634,379		619,902

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

-2-

ARABIAN AMERICAN DEVELOPMENT COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (UNAUDITED) FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2000

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

<caption></caption>	COMMON	STOCK	ADDITIONAL PAID-IN	ACCUMULATED CURRENCY	a COUM	
	SHARES AMOUNT CAPITAL			TRANSLATION ADJUSTMENTS	ACCUM. DEFICIT	TOTAL
<s> JANUARY 1, 2000</s>	<c> 22,019,994</c>	<c> \$ 2,201,999</c>	<c> \$ 36,101,506</c>	<c> \$</c>	<c> \$ (6,855,342)</c>	<c> \$ 31,448,163</c>
Common Stock Issued in Payment of Liabilities	469,000	46,900	422,100			469,000
Comprehensive Loss Net Loss Currency Translation					(1,995,644)	(1,995,644)
Adjustment				(163,650)		(163,650)
Total Comprehensive Loss						(2,159,294)
SEPTEMBER 30, 2000	22,488,994	\$ 2,248,899	\$ 36,523,606	\$ (163,650)	\$ (8,850,986) ======	\$ 29,757,869 ======

</TABLE>

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

-3-

ARABIAN AMERICAN DEVELOPMENT COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

<cap1iun></cap1iun>	NINE MONT	THS ENDED
	SEP. 30, 2000	SEP. 30, 1999
<\$>	<c></c>	<c></c>
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net Income (Loss)	\$(1,995,644)	\$ 2,901,678
Adjustments for Non-Cash Transactions		
Income Tax Provision		193,121
Depreciation	754,335	505,752
Increase (Decrease) in Deferred Revenue	(25,825)	75,767
Effects of Changes in Operating Assets and Liabilities		
Decrease (Increase) in Trade Receivables	(398,759)	(1,501,384)

Decrease (Increase) in Inventories Decrease (Increase) in Other Assets		(120,943) 112,092
(Decrease) Increase in Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities Other		289,659 (36,016)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES	115,705	2,419,726
INVESTING ACTIVITIES Proceeds from Sale of Short-Term Investments Purchase of Business (Net of Cash Acquired) Additions to Al Masane Project		(376,255)
Additions to Refinery Plant, Pipeline and Equipment (Additions to) Reduction in Mineral Properties in the U. S Increase in Accrued Liabilities in Saudi Arabia	(2,674,956) 18,896 30,410	(24,869)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(5,214,373)	(2,514,783)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES Additions to Notes Payable and Long-Term Obligations Reduction of Notes Payable and Long-Term Obligations	3,167,350 (1,798,229)	750,000 (1,250,000)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES	1,369,121	
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH	(3,729,547)	(595,057)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	3,934,313	1,907,242
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 204,766	\$ 1,312,185

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

-4-

ARABIAN AMERICAN DEVELOPMENT COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal and recurring adjustments) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of Arabian American Development Company and Subsidiaries" financial position and operating results for the interim period. Interim period results are not necessarily indicative of the results for the calendar year. Please refer to Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for additional information and the Company's December 31, 1999 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

These financial statements include the accounts of Arabian American Development Company (the "Company") and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, American Shield Refining Company (the "Refining Company") and American Shield Coal Company (the "Coal Company"). The Refining Company owns all of the capital stock of Texas Oil and Chemical Company II, Inc. ("TOCCO"). TOCCO owns all of the capital stock of South Hampton Refining Company ("South Hampton") and South Hampton owns all of the capital stock of Gulf State Pipe Line Company, Inc. ("Gulf State"). TOCCO also owns 92% of the capital stock of Productos Quimicos Coin, S.A. de. C.V. ("Coin"), a specialty petrochemical products refining company located near Veracruz, Mexico, which was purchased on January 25, 2000 for approximately \$2.5 million. The Company also owns approximately 51% of the capital stock of Pioche-Ely Valley Mines, Inc. ("Pioche"), which owns mining properties in Nevada. The Refining Company and its subsidiaries constitute the Company"s Specialty Petrochemicals or Refining Segment. The Coal Company, Pioche and the Company"s mineral properties in Saudi Arabia constitute its Mining Segment.

2. INVENTORIES

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

Inventories include the following:

<s></s>		<c2< td=""><td>></td><td><c></c></td><td></td></c2<>	>	<c></c>	
	Refinery feedstock	\$	315,064	\$	
	Refined products		909,314		745 , 396
	Total inventories	\$	1,224,378	\$	745,396
		===		====	

Refined products and feedstock are recorded at the lower of cost, determined on the last-in, first-out method (LIFO), or market. At September 30, 2000 and December 31, 1999, current cost exceeded LIFO value by approximately \$155,000 and \$142,000, respectively.

3. FOREIGN CURRENCY TRANSLATION

Assets and liabilities are translated at the rate of exchange in effect at the close of the period. Revenues and expenses are translated at the weighted average of exchange rates in effect during the period. The effects of exchange rate fluctuations on translating foreign currency assets and liabilities into U.S. dollars are included as part of the accumulated currency translation adjustments component of stockholders' equity.

-5-

4. NET INCOME (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE

The following table (in thousands, except per share amounts) sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income (loss) per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

<cap1< th=""><th>IUN></th><th colspan="3">Three Months Ended September 30,</th><th colspan="4">Nine Months Ended September 30,</th></cap1<>	IUN>	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,				
			2000		1999		2000		1999
<s></s>		<c></c>		<c></c>		<c></c>		<c></c>	
	Net Income (Loss) - basic Add interest on convertible debt	\$	(1,199)	Ş	734 9	Ş	(1,996)	Ş	2,902 42
	Net Income (Loss) - diluted	\$ =====	(1,199)	\$ ====	743	\$ ====	(1,996)	\$ ====	2,944
	Weighted average shares outstanding - basic Dilutive effect of convertible debt Dilutive effect of stock options		22,789 		22,019 512 		22,634		22,019 512 89
	Weighted average shares outstanding -diluted		22,789		22,531		22,634		22,620
	Net Income (Loss) per share:								
	Basic	\$ 	(.05)	\$.03	\$ 	(.09)	\$.13
	Diluted	\$ =====	(.05)	\$ ====	.03	\$ ====	(.09)	\$ ====	.13

</TABLE>

5. ACOUISITION

On January 25, 2000, TOCCO purchased 92% of the issued and outstanding shares of the common stock of Productos Químicos Coin, S.A. de. C.V. ("Coin") from Spechem, S.A. de. C.V. for \$2.5 million in cash. Coin is a specialty petrochemical products refining company located in Coatzacoalcos, Mexico near Veracruz. Financing was provided by a loan from Heller Financial Leasing, Inc.

The following table (in thousands, except per share amounts) presents pro forma unaudited consolidated results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2000 and 1999, assuming that the acquisition had taken place at the beginning of the periods presented. The pro forma results are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that would have occurred had the acquisition been made at the beginning of the periods presented, or of future results of operations of the combined companies.

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

		Three Months Ended September 30,			Three Months Ended September 30,			hs Er ber (
			2000	1999		2000		2000	
<s></s>		<c></c>		<c></c>	>	<c:< th=""><th>></th><th><c:< th=""><th>></th></c:<></th></c:<>	>	<c:< th=""><th>></th></c:<>	>
	Revenue Net Income (Loss)	Ş	11,764 (1,199)	Ş	11,265 608	\$	34,177 (2,035)	\$	27,889 3,051

Net Income (Loss) per share:								
Basic	\$	(.05)	\$.03	\$	(.09)	\$.14
	====		====		====		====	
Diluted	\$	(.05)	Ş	.03	\$	(.09)	\$.13
	====		====	======	===:		====	

-6-

6. SEGMENT INFORMATION

As discussed in Note 1, the Company has two business segments. The Company
measures segment profit or loss as operating income (loss), which represents
income (loss) before interest, miscellaneous income and minority interest.
Information on the segments is as follows:

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

Three Months ended September 30, 2000		Refining		Mining		Total
<\$> Revenue from external customers Operating income (loss)	<c< th=""><th>> 11,764,240</th><th><c> \$</c></th><th>></th><th><c> \$</c></th><th>11,764,240</th></c<>	> 11,764,240	<c> \$</c>	>	<c> \$</c>	11,764,240
Total assets	Ş	20,310,986	\$	38,736,517	\$	59,047,503

								Refining		Mining		Total
```  ```												
Revenue from external customers Operating income (loss)	Ş	> 8,098,361 739,011	\$	(35,504)	Ş	8,098,361 703,507						
Total assets	Ş	11,235,790	Ş	38,424,550	Ş	49,660,340						
Nine Months ended September 30, 2000		Refinery		Mining		Total						
```  <$> ```		>										
Revenue from external customers Operating income (loss)	Ş	> 33,232,749 (1,324,326)	Ş	(161,020)	Ş	33,232,749 (1,485,346)						
```  <$> ```		>										
Revenue from external customers Operating income (loss)	\$	> 19,952,656 3,134,149	\$	(152,143)	Ş	19,952,656 2,982,006						

Operating	income	(loss)

</TABLE>

## 7. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

South Hampton is a defendant in four lawsuits filed in Jefferson County and Orange County, Texas in the period from December 1997 to December 1999 by former employees of the southeast Texas plants of the Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company, Dupont and South Hampton. The suits claim illness and disease resulting from alleged exposure to chemicals, including benzene, butadiene and/or isoprene, during their employment. The plaintiffs claim that the companies engaged in the business of manufacturing, selling and/or distributing these chemicals in a manner which subjected them to liability for unspecified actual and punitive damages. South Hampton intends to vigorously defend itself against these lawsuits.

In August 1997, the Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission ("TNRCC") notified South Hampton that it had violated various rules and procedures. It proposed administrative penalties totaling \$709,408 and recommended that South Hampton undertake certain actions necessary to bring the operations at its refinery into compliance The violations generally relate to various air and water quality issues. Appropriate modifications have been made by South Hampton where it appeared there were legitimate concerns. South Hampton feels the penalty is greatly overstated and intends to vigorously defend itself against it. A preliminary hearing was held in November 1997, but no further action has been taken.

,

-7-

On February 2, 2000, the TNRCC amended its pending administrative action against South Hampton to add allegations dating through May 21, 1998 of 35 regulatory violations relating to air quality control and industrial solid waste requirements. The TNRCC proposes that administrative penalties be assessed in the amount of approximately \$765,000 and that certain corrective actions be taken. South Hampton intends to vigorously defend itself against these additional allegations, the proposed penalties and proposed corrective actions.

In May 1991, the Company filed a complaint with the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") against Hunt Oil Company of Dallas, Texas ("Hunt"). The Company's complaint alleged various violations of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act ("FCPA") by Hunt, at the Company's detriment, in obtaining its 1981 Petroleum Production Sharing Agreement ("PSA") in Yemen. The DOJ requested additional documentation regarding the Company's allegations in 1995 that the Company provided in early 1996. In late 1996, the DOJ advised the Company that the documents presented did not provide sufficient evidence of any criminal activity and that the DOJ did not intend to pursue the investigation. In December 1996, after providing the DOJ with additional legal analyses, the Company's representatives were told that the DOJ would take a more aggressive stance if additional legal evidence was presented to the DOJ. In an effort to comply with the DOJ's request, in 1997 the Company requested certain documents from the Central Intelligence Agency ("CIA") under the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"). The Company believes the requested documents may contain the evidentiary information that the DOJ needs to properly and sufficiently evaluate the Company's compliant against Hunt. The CIA refused to either confirm or deny the existence of the requested information. After exhausting its administrative appeals, the Company filed suit against the CIA in early 1998 in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas seeking a judicial determination of the Company's FOIA request. The Company argued the FOIA specifically prohibits any agency from using Executive Order 12958, relating to classification of documents, and the FOIA to conceal criminal activity, in this instance Hunt's violation of the FCPA. Following a February 1999 hearing, the Court rejected the Company's arguments and issued a summary judgment in favor of the CIA. The Company filed an appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, which on January 28, 2000 rejected the Company's appeal. The Company believes that this could be a landmark case. As a consequence, on April 22, 2000, it filed a writ of certiorari with the United States Supreme Court in which the Company argued that the District and Appellate Courts erred in their judgments. The Company has requested the Supreme Court to issue its ruling that the matter be remandered to the trial court with instructions that the CIA review its own documents to determine if any information requested by the Company should not have been classified but handed over the Company for use in the pursuit of its case with the DOJ against Hunt for conspiracy and violation of the FCPA. On July 1, 2000, the Supreme Court assigned Cause No. 00-17 to the Company's Petition. On October 2, 2000, the Supreme Court denied the Company's Petition without giving any opinion. The Company has requested and will continue to request additional documents from both the CIA and DOJ under appropriate provisions of the FOIA and may seek judicial review in the event its requests are denied. In the event the Company is able to provide the DOJ with appropriate legal evidence and the DOJ prevails in any FCPA action against Hunt regarding the PSA, the Company would then institute an appropriate action against Hunt in accordance with the provisions of the Victim Restitution Act. Based on the advice of its counsel, the Company believes that it would be entitled to restitution of monies lost as a result of the wrongdoing by Hunt, if Hunt is convicted under the FCPA. The Company further believes, based on such advice, that the amount of restitution could include all of the profits received by Hunt from its Yemen operations and also could include proceeds from the sale of a portion of Hunt's interest in the PSA. However, there can be no assurance that the DOJ will pursue or obtain a conviction of Hunt regarding the PSA under the FCPA and no assurance that the Company would receive or be entitled to receive any restitution as a result of any such conviction. The cost to the Company of these pursuits is minimal.

## 8. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

NASDAQ notified the Company in May that its common stock would be delisted in August if the Company was unable to demonstrate compliance with its minimum bid price requirements. The Company appealed this proposed action in August and a hearing on the appeal was held in October. As a result of the hearing, the Company's stock was delisted on October 12, 2000. The Company then submitted an appeal request to a higher NASDAQ authority and a decision is expected in January. Meanwhile, the Company's stock is being traded on the OTC Bulletin Board.

-8-

## ARABIAN AMERICAN DEVELOPMENT COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

Statements in Part 1, Item 2 as well as elsewhere in, or incorporated by reference in, this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q regarding the Company's financial position, business strategy and plans and objectives of the Company's management for future operations and other statements that are not historical facts, are "forward-looking statements" as that term is defined under applicable Federal securities laws. In some case, "forward-looking statements" can be identified by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "expects," "plans," "anticipates," "contemplates," "proposes," believes," "estimates," "predicts," "potential" or "continue" or the negative of such terms and other comparable terminology. Forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such statements. Such risks, uncertainties and factors include, but are not limited to, general economic conditions domestically and internationally; insufficient cash flows from operating activities; difficulties in obtaining financing; outstanding debt and other financial and legal obligations; competition; industry cycles; feedstock, specialty petrochemical product and mineral prices; feedstock availability; technological developments; regulatory changes; environmental matters; foreign government instability; foreign legal and political concepts; and foreign currency fluctuations, as well as other risks detailed in the Company's filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, including this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, all of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond the Company's control.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company operates in two business segments, specialty petrochemicals (which is composed of the entities owned by the Refining Company) and mining. Its corporate overhead needs are minimal. A discussion of each segment's liquidity and capital resources follows.

SPECIALTY PETROCHEMICALS SEGMENT. This segment contributes substantially all of the Company's internally generated cash flows from operating activities and its primary sources of revenue are the specialty products refineries owned and operated by South Hampton near Silsbee, Texas and by Coin in Mexico. In order to supplement its cash flows from operating activities, this business segment has a \$3.25 million credit agreement with the Southwest Bank. In connection with the acquisition of the common stock of Coin, South Hampton and Gulf State entered into the \$3.5 million note with Heller Financial. This segment's cash flows from operating activities, along with its available present credit facility and possible refinancing options, are expected to be adequate to finance its operations and debt service requirements. In the event this segment were to undertake a major capital expenditure, such as construction of a new facility, financing for this activity would most likely come from some combination of internal resources, a debt placement with a financial institution or a joint venture partner. Any major capital expenditure requires the Southwest Bank's advance review and approval.

MINING SEGMENT. This segment is in the development stage. Its most significant asset is the Al Masane mining project in Saudi Arabia, which is a net user of the Company's available cash and capital resources. In order to commercially develop the Al Masane project, the Company entered into a joint venture arrangement with Al Mashreq Company for Mining Investments ("Al Mashreq"), a Saudi limited liability company owned by Saudi Arabian investors (including certain of the Company's shareholders). The partners formed The Arabian Shield Company for Mining Industries Ltd., a Saudi limited liability company ("Arabian Mining"), which was officially registered and licensed in August 1998 to conduct business in Saudi Arabia and authorized to mine and process minerals from the Al Masane lease area. Arabian Mining received conditional approval for a \$38.1 million interest-free loan from the Saudi Industrial Development Fund ("SIDF").

Due to the severe decline in the open market prices for the minerals to be produced by the Al Masane project and the financial crisis affecting Eastern Asia in 1998, SIDF and other potential lenders required additional guarantees and other financing conditions, which were unacceptable to the Company and Al Mashreq. As a consequence, Al Mashreq withdrew from the joint venture. By letter dated May 11, 1999, the Company informed the Ministry of Petroleum and Mineral Resources that the joint venture was dissolved and that implementation of the project would be delayed until open market prices for the minerals to be produced by the Al Masane project improve to the average price levels experienced during the period from 1988 through 1997. At that time, the Company will attempt to locate a joint venture together with the joint venture

-9-

partner, attempt to obtain acceptable financing to commercially develop the project. There can be no assurances that the Company will be able to locate a joint venture partner, form a joint venture or obtain financing from SIDF or any other sources. Financing plans for the above are currently being studied. In the meantime, the Company intends to maintain the Al Masane mining lease through the payment of the annual advance surface rental, the implementation of a drilling program to attempt to increase proven and probable reserves and to attempt to improve the metallurgical recovery rates beyond those stated in the feasibility study, which may improve the commercial viability of the project at lower metal prices than those assumed in the feasibility study.

On June 22, 1999, the Company submitted a formal application for a five-year exclusive mineral exploration license for the Greater Al Masane Area of approximately 2,850 square kilometers, which surrounds the Al Masane mining lease area and includes the Wadi Qatan and Jebel Harr. The Company previously worked the Greater Al Masane Area after obtaining written authorization from the Saudi Ministry of Petroleum and Mineral Resources, and has expended over \$3 million in exploration work. Geophysical and geochemical work and diamond core drilling on the Greater Al Masane.

The Company"s mineral interests in the United States include its ownership interests in the Coal Company and Pioche. The Coal Company"s sole remaining asset is its net operating loss carryforward of approximately \$5.9 million at December 31, 1999 and its future, if any, is uncertain. Pioche has been inactive for many years. Its properties include 48 patented and 80 unpatented claims totaling approximately 3,500 acres in Lincoln County, Nevada. There are prospects and mines on these claims that previously produced silver, gold, lead, zinc and copper.

Management also is addressing two other significant financing issues within this segment. These issues are the \$11.0 million note payable due the Saudi Arabian government and accrued salaries and termination benefits of approximately \$900,000 due employees working in Saudi Arabia (this amount does not include any amounts due the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer who also primarily works in Saudi Arabia and is owed approximately \$772,000). Regarding the note payable, this loan was originally due in ten annual installments beginning in 1984. The Company has not made any repayments nor has it received any payment demands or other communications regarding the note payable from the Saudi government. By memorandum to the King of Saudi Arabia in 1986, the Saudi Ministers of Finance and Petroleum recommended that the \$11.0 million note be incorporated into a loan from SIDF to finance 50% of the cost of the Al Masane project, repayment of the total amount of which would be made through a mutually agreed upon repayment schedule from the Company's share of the operating cash flows generated by the project. The Company remains active in Saudi Arabia and received the Al Masane mining lease at a time when it had not made any of the agreed upon repayment installments. Based on its experience to date, management believes that as long as the Company diligently attempts to explore and develop the Al Masane project no repayment demand will be made. The Company recently communicated to the Saudi government that its delay in repaying the note is a direct result of the government's lengthy delay in granting the Al Masane lease. Based on its interpretation of the Al Masane mining lease and other documents, management believes the government is likely to agree to link repayment of this note to the Company's share of the operating cash flows generated by the commercial development of the Al Masane project and to a long-term installment repayment schedule. In the event the Saudi government were to demand immediate repayment of this obligation, which management considers unlikely, the Company would be unable to pay the entire amount due. If a satisfactory rescheduling agreement could not reached, and there are no assurances that one could be, the Company believes it could obtain the necessary resources to meet the rescheduled installment payments from the cash flows generated by its two specialty petrochemical refineries while maintaining the mining lease.

With respect to the accrued salaries and termination benefits due employees working in Saudi Arabia, the Company plans to continue employing these individuals until it is able to generate sufficient excess funds to begin payment of this liability. Management will then begin the process of gradually releasing certain employees and paying its obligations as they are released from the Company's employment. The salary and social security benefits for these employees currently total approximately \$108,000 per year.

At this time, the Company has no definitive plans for the development of its domestic mining assets. It periodically receives proposals from outside parties who are interested in possibly developing or using certain assets. Management will continue to review these proposals as they are received, but at this time does not anticipate making any significant domestic mining capital expenditures or receiving any significant proceeds from the sale or use of these assets.

If the Company seeks additional outside financing, there is no assurance that sufficient funds could be obtained. It is also possible that the terms of the financing could be unfavorable to the Company.

-10-

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

SPECIALTY PETROCHEMICALS SEGMENT. A 92% interest in the Coin refinery in Mexico was purchased on January 25, 2000, therefore, its revenues and expenses for February through September are included in the financial statements for the first nine months of 2000. While there have been some additional expenses involved in the acquisition, the primary factor affecting the nine month results was the dramatic rise in the cost of feedstock in late 1999 and early 2000. In the quarter ended September 30, 2000, total revenue increased approximately \$3,666,000 (\$693,000 attributable to Coin) or 45%, while the cost of sales (excluding depreciation) increased approximately \$5,013,000 (\$686,000 attributable to Coin) or 77% from the same period in 1999. In the nine months ended September 30, 2000, total revenue increased approximately \$13,280,000 (\$4,763,000 attributable to Coin) or 67%, while the cost of sales (excluding depreciation) increased approximately \$16,959,000 (\$4,741,000 attributable to Coin) or 118% from 1999. Consequently, the gross profit margin in the first nine months of 2000 decreased approximately \$3,679,000 or 66% compared to the same period in 1999. Some of the revenue increase came from sales of the acquired company but product prices and toll processing fees were also higher than in the previous year. Product prices have increased approximately 15% as the Company has worked to catch up with the feedstock market and regain its gross margin. Toll processing fee income in the first nine months of 2000 rose by 78%, which partially offsets the feedstock price increases. The increase in these fees is primarily due to the addition of a new unit added in May 1999. An additional unit has recently been constructed and began operations in July 2000. The new unit is expected to add approximately \$70,000 per month in processing fee income, if operated at minimum levels and up to \$110,000 per month at capacity. The Company currently has toll processing contracts with five different entities.

In late 1999 and the first nine months of 2000, feedstock costs rose in conjunction with the large increase in crude oil prices worldwide. Costs of feedstock increased from \$.33 per gallon in the first quarter of 1999 to over \$.80 per gallon in the third quarter of 2000, an increase of 142%. In the second quarter of 2000, feedstock costs fell during the latter part of April and through May, but rose again and have remained high. During periods of rapid feedstock price increases, it is not possible to raise product prices quickly enough to cover the increased costs; therefore any changes in costs will not affect the profitability on a one to one basis in the near term. The cost of natural gas, which is the single largest expense other than feedstock costs, rose by 265% in 2000 due to the increases in worldwide prices. In the second quarter of 1999, the Company was paying \$1.70 per MMBTU for fuel gas, which increased to \$5.40 per MMBTU by the end of the third quarter of 2000. Administrative expenses in the first nine months of 2000 were higher by approximately \$540,000, with about 40% of the increase attributable to the acquired refinery. Sales of the Company's products remain stable and expanded marketing efforts have kept the Silsbee refinery at near capacity since the second quarter of 1997.

At the Mexico refinery, process modifications are being designed which should produce an additional 60,000 gallons per month of premium product for sale in the U.S. The proposed work has been postponed until market conditions improve. Capital costs are estimated at approximately \$225,000 and should pay back in less than one year under normal conditions. Their marketing capability has been upgraded with the addition of experienced petrochemical sales personnel, which is expected to result in moving more products to Central and South America. Management expects that the reorganization of the refinery in Mexico and the blending of its similar operations with the Silsbee refinery will continue to improve in the fourth quarter of 2000. Margins are steady, even as costs increase, and, if the U.S. economy continues its growth pattern, sales volumes should remain high.

MINING SEGMENT AND GENERAL CORPORATE EXPENSES. None of the Company's other operations generate significant operating or other revenues. Minority interest amounts represent the Pioche minority stockholders' share of Pioche losses that are primarily attributable to the costs of maintaining the Nevada mining properties.

The Company periodically reviews and evaluates its mineral exploration and development projects as well as its other mineral properties and related assets. The recoverability of the Company's carrying values of its development properties are assessed by comparing the carrying values to estimated future net cash flows from each property. In 2000, for purposes of estimating future cash flows, the price assumptions used by its mining consultant were taken from the projections of a major international metal's company. These latest price assumptions are averages over the projected life of the Al Masane mine and are \$1.08 per pound for copper, \$.55 per pound for zinc, \$350 per ounce for gold, and \$6.00 per ounce for silver. For its other mineral properties and related assets, carrying values were compared to estimated net realizable values on market comparables. Using these price assumptions, no asset impairments were evident.

-11-

The Company intends to assess the carrying values of its assets on an ongoing basis. Factors which may affect carrying values include, but are not limited to, mineral prices, capital cost estimates, the estimated operating costs of any mines and related processing, ore grade and related metallurgical characteristics, the design of any mines and the timing of any mineral production. There are no assurances that, particularly in the event of a prolonged period of depressed mineral prices, the Company will not be required to take a material write-down of its mineral properties. PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

## SHAREHOLDERS' PROPOSALS

Any proposal by a shareholder of the Company intended to be presented at the 2001 annual meeting of shareholders, which is tentatively scheduled sometime in May 2001, must be received by the Company at its principal executive office no later than December 4, 2000 for inclusion in the Company"s Proxy Statement and form of proxy. Any such proposal must also comply with the other requirements of the proxy solicitation rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Company intends to exercise discretionary voting authority granted under any proxy which is executed and returned to the Company on any matter that may properly come before the 2001 annual meeting of shareholders, unless written notice of the matter is delivered to the Company at its principal executive office no later than February 15, 2001.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) EXHIBITS

None

(b) REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

No Reports on Form 8-K were filed during the quarter ended September 30, 2000.

-13-

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DATE: November 10, 2000

ARABIAN AMERICAN DEVELOPMENT COMPANY ------(Registrant)

/s/ J. A. CRICHTON

J. A. Crichton, Chairman of the Board of Directors

/s/ DREW WILSON, JR.

Drew Wilson, Jr. Secretary/Treasurer

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

<TABLE> <CAPTION> EXHIBIT NUMBER

<S>

27 </TABLE> DESCRIPTION -------<C> Financial Data Schedule <TABLE> <S> <C>

# <ARTICLE> 5

<s></s>	<c></c>	
<period-type></period-type>	9-mos	
<fiscal-year-end></fiscal-year-end>	DEC-31-2000	
<period-start></period-start>	JAN-01-2000	
<period-end></period-end>	SEP-30-2000	
<cash></cash>	204,766	
<securities></securities>	0	
<receivables></receivables>	6,252,295	
<allowances></allowances>	0	
<inventory></inventory>	1,224,378	
<current-assets></current-assets>	7,681,439	
<pp&e></pp&e>	17,213,314	
<depreciation></depreciation>	5,085,191	
<total-assets></total-assets>	59,047,503	
<current-liabilities></current-liabilities>	23,933,695	
<bonds></bonds>	0	
<preferred-mandatory></preferred-mandatory>	0	
<preferred></preferred>	0	
<common></common>	2,248,899	
<other-se></other-se>	27,508,970	
<total-liability-and-equity></total-liability-and-equity>	59,047,503	
<sales></sales>	31,542,686	
<total-revenues></total-revenues>	33,232,749	
<cgs></cgs>	31,322,327	
<total-costs></total-costs>	34,718,095	
<other-expenses></other-expenses>	0	
<loss-provision></loss-provision>	0	
<interest-expense></interest-expense>	757,738	
<income-pretax></income-pretax>	(1,995,644)	
<income-tax></income-tax>	0	
<income-continuing></income-continuing>	(1,995,644)	
<discontinued></discontinued>	0	
<extraordinary></extraordinary>	0	
<changes></changes>	0	
<net-income></net-income>	(1,995,644)	
<eps-basic></eps-basic>	(.09)	
<eps-diluted></eps-diluted>	(.09)	

</TABLE>